

19 Fulton Street, Suite 407 New York, NY 10038 tel: (212) 807-6222 fax: (212) 807-6245 e-mail: ncac@ncac.org web: www.ncac.org

November 20, 2015

Joel M. Sacks Director Washington State Department of Labor & Industries 7273 Linderson Way SW Tumwater, WA 98501-5414

sacj235@lni.wa.gov

Dear Mr. Joel Sacks.

The National Coalition Against Censorship, an alliance of over 50 national non-profit organizations united to promote freedom of thought, inquiry and expression, is deeply concerned about the decision to remove paintings by Leonard Peltier from a National American Indian Heritage Month exhibition at the Washington Department of Labor and Industries. This move has serious First Amendment implications.

It is our understanding that four paintings by Peltier, displayed in the rotunda of the Department Headquarters, were removed earlier than scheduled in response to complaints from the Society of Former Special Agents of the FBI, who claimed that the work should not be shown because of the artist's criminal conviction.

Although it is understandable to be caught off guard by such strong opposition to artwork meant to celebrate Native American heritage, the act of removing Peltier's artwork raises serious questions regarding freedom of artistic expression, a fundamental liberty guaranteed under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

The First Amendment undeniably protects the right to exhibit work that might disturb, annoy, or offend government officials. As the U.S. Supreme Court has affirmed, "If there is a bedrock principle of the First Amendment, it is that the government may not prohibit the expression of an idea simply because society finds the idea itself offensive or disagreeable." (Texas v. Johnson, 491 U.S. 397, 414, 1989)

In a government building where a public forum was made available for expression, public officials cannot single out and remove the expressive work of one of the artists just because some people dislike him. Whether it is a <u>designated public forum</u>, in which the government opens public property for expression, or a <u>limited public forum</u>, where public property has been opened for a limited purpose, restrictions on speech need to be *viewpoint-neutral and reasonable in light of the purpose of the forum*. The show at the rotunda was limited to the work of Native American artists. Inventing a

Joan E. Bertin Executive Director

NCAC PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS

Actors' Equity Association American Association of School Administrators American Association of University Professors American Association of University Women American Booksellers for Free Expression American Civil Liberties Union American Ethical Union American Federation of Teachers American Jewish Committee American Library Association American Literary Translators American Orthopsychiatric Association American Society of Journalists & Authors Americans United for Separation of Church & State Association of American Publishers Authors Guild Catholics for Choice Children's Literature Association College Art Association Comic Book Legal Defense Fund The Creative Coalition Directors Guild of America Dramatists Guild of America First Amendment Lawyers Association International Reading Association Lambda Legal Modern Language Association National Center for Science Education National Communication Association National Council for the Social Studies National Council of Churches National Council of lewish Women National Council of Teachers of English National Education Association National Youth Rights Association The Newspaper Guild/CWA PEN American Center People for the American Way Planned Parenthood Federation of America Project Censored SAG-AFTRA Sexuality Information & Education

Council of the U.S.

Student Press Law Center
Union for Reform Judaism
Union of Democratic Intellectuals

& Illustrators

Society of Children's Book Writers

Unitarian Universalist Association
United Church of Christ

Office of Communication

Woodhull Sexual Freedom Alliance

Writers Guild of America, East Writers Guild of America, West

United Methodist Communications

United Methodist Church

Women's American ORT

new category of restricted speaker—in this case, convicted murderers—for exclusion after the exhibit has been put on display, and after complaints from a specific group, is neither viewpoint-neutral nor reasonable in light of the purpose of the exhibition.

The very functioning of a democratic society depends on the ability of a diverse public to voice a variety of opinions without fear of retaliation by the government. By removing Peltier's artwork you may be exposing the Washington State Department of Labor and Industries to legal liability as well as inviting public outrage.

National American Indian Heritage Month was meant to be a celebration. The government should not cede to the voices of a few by silencing a Native American artist. I welcome the opportunity to talk to you in more depth about our concerns. I urge you keep the work on display for the duration of the show, rather than face nationwide criticism and possible legal action.

Sincerely,

Svetlana Mintcheva Director of Programs

Svellozur hartchers

cc: Tim Church, Public Affairs Manager: Tim.Church@Lni.wa.gov