

275 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1504

New York, NY 10001

tel: (212) 807-6222

fax: (212) 807-6245

e-mail: ncac@ncac.org

web: www.ncac.org

Jeff Comerchero Mayor 43200 Business Park Dr Temecula, California 92589 Fax: 951-694-6499

March 8, 2010

Dear Mr. Comerchero:

Thank you for addressing the important task of creating exhibition policies for public spaces in Temecula, CA. The development of good policies is the best way to avoid divisive controversies and meet constitutional standards. We hope that we can assist in this effort. Our comments are made in that spirit.

First, we are concerned about the wisdom, and ultimately the constitutionality, of the effort to strike a balance between artistic merit and "appropriateness." The recent history of art censorship in Temecula – not only of Jeff Hebron's nude, but of two paintings of country scenes by Lora Sanders depicting a man smoking and another holding a brown bottle – provides evidence of the wide range of plainly protected artistic expression that some in the community may consider "inappropriate." Appropriateness is not a standard recognized by the First Amendment, which protects virtually all artistic expression unless it meets the legal definition of obscenity.

Our concerns are further heightened by language in the Temecula Art League's prospectus for its upcoming Western show: "The Merc is a facility owned by the City of Temecula and the City controls the art to be displayed in the Merc. ... The City will select, in its sole discretion, [20-25_] pieces of art to be displayed at the Merc from the Judge's recommendations. The City reserves the right to cancel the exhibition at any time in its sole discretion."

Under the First Amendment, selection of art in a public gallery must be based on viewpoint - neutral criteria such as creative excellence, cultural significance and intellectual richness. The arbitrary, subjective, and vague determination of what is "appropriate" granting city officials "sole discretion" to decide what the public would be allowed to see is almost guaranteed to lead to the impermissible imposition of some individual's viewpoint on the selection process, in violation of First Amendment principles.

As you are now aware, simple nudity is not sufficient ground for excluding artwork from public exhibition. As the Supreme Court has noted multiple times, "'nudity alone' does not place otherwise protected material outside the mantle of the First Amendment." *Schad v. Mount Ephraim* (1981), *Jenkins v. Georgia* (1974), *Osborne v. Ohio* (1990). Neither does the presence of children provide a basis for refusing to exhibit art work: "'[R]egardless of the

Joan E. Bertin Executive Director

NCAC PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS

Actors' Equity Association American Association of School Administrators

American Association of University Professors

American Association of University Women

American Booksellers Foundation for Free Expression

American Civil Liberties Union

American Ethical Union

American Federation of Teachers

American Federation of Television & Radio Artists

American Jewish Committee

American Jewish Congress

American Library Association

American Literary Translators

Association

American Orthopsychiatric Association

American Society of Journalists & Authors

Americans United for Separation of Church & State

Association of American Publishers

Authors Guild

Catholics for Choice

Children's Literature Association

College Art Association

The Creative Coalition

Directors Guild of America

The Dramatists Guild of America

First Amendment Lawyers Association

International Reading Association Lambda Legal

Modern Language Association

National Center for Science Education

National Communication Association
National Council for the Social Studies

National Council of the Churches

ational Council of the Churches of Christ

National Council of Jewish Women

National Council of Teachers of English National Education Association

The Newspaper Guild/CWA

Office of Communication

United Church of Christ

PEN American Center

People for the American Way

Planned Parenthood Federation

of America

Screen Actors Guild

Sexuality Information & Education Council of the U.S.

Society of Children's Book Writers & Illustrators

Student Press Law Center

Union for Reform Judaism

Union of Democratic Intellectuals

Unitarian Universalist Association

United Methodist Communications, United Methodist Church

Women's American ORT

Writers Guild of America, East

Writers Guild of America, West

strength of the government's interest in protecting children, '[t]he level of discourse reaching a mailbox simply cannot be limited to that which would be suitable for a sandbox.'" *Ashcroft v. ACLU* (2002), and cases cited therein.

Our courts have time and again reaffirmed that the First Amendment prohibits public officials from censoring art they find offensive or provocative. The case of *Hopper v. City of Pasco* (2001) in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, which encompasses California, is a case in point. There, the plaintiff artists who had been invited to display their work at the City Hall were precluded from doing so because the work provoked controversy and public officials considered it "sexually suggestive." The Court noted that Pasco, by opening its display space to expressive activity has evinced "an intent to create a designated public forum." In such a forum, the court concluded, the content based removal of work would only be justifiable if there is a "compelling state interest and is narrowly drawn to achieve that end."

While the Constitution accords substantial leeway for exercise of artistic judgment, it prohibits government action designed solely to avoid controversy or suppress unpopular views. It is critical for city officials and employees involved in the selection and display of artwork to understand that their discretion is not unlimited, and that they must respect constitutional standards in carrying out these functions.

NCAC strongly encourages Temecula to develop policies recognizing the free expression rights of artists and patrons. We suggest that these policies declare:

- * That the selection of artwork for display in public spaces is based on viewpoint-neutral criteria, such as artistic merit.
- * That the gallery is not necessarily endorsing the ideas reflected in a particular piece. This permits the exhibition of a work containing a wide diversity of ideas, some of which might contradict each other.
- * That the criterion "inappropriate for children" is not a valid basis for exclusion of work. An art institution cannot act *in loco parentis* to determine what may be suitable or unsuitable for minors. This is the sole responsibility of parents.
- * That selection may not be based on whether the work is deemed to express unpopular or controversial ideas, or whether it is thought to be offensive or objectionable.

Please do not hesitate to contact me for further information.

Sincerely,

Svetlana Mintcheva Director of Programs National Coalition Against Censorship

CC: Ron Roberts, Mayor Pro-Tem, ron.roberts@citycouncil.org
Maryann Edwards, Council Member, maryann.edwards@citycouncil.org
Michael S. Naggar, Council Member, mike.naggar@citycouncil.org
Chuck Washington, Council Member, chuck.washington@cityoftemecula.org